

Payback

*Reaping the Rewards
of Innovation*

James P. Andrew
Harold L. Sirkin
with John Butman

Harvard Business School Press
Boston, Massachusetts

Copyright 2006 The Boston Consulting Group

All rights reserved

Printed in the United States of America

10 09 08 07 06 5 4 3 2 1

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in or introduced into a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise), without the prior permission of the publisher. Requests for permission should be directed to permissions@hbsp.harvard.edu, or mailed to Permissions, Harvard Business School Publishing, 60 Harvard Way, Boston, Massachusetts 02163.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Andrew, James P., 1962-

Payback : reaping the rewards of innovation / James P. Andrew, Harold L. Sirkin, with John Butman.

p. cm.

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN-13: 978-1-4221-0313-5 (hardcover : alk. paper)

1. Technological innovations—Management. 2. Industrial management. I. Sirkin, Harold L. II. Butman, John. III. Boston Consulting Group. IV. Title.

HD45.A628 2006

658.5'14—dc22

2006024530

The paper used in this publication meets the minimum requirements of the American National Standard for Information Sciences—Permanence of Paper for Printed Library Materials, ANSI Z39.48-1992.

CONTENTS

PREFACE	ix
ONE	Overview 1
Part One	What Is Payback? 23
TWO	Cash and Cash Traps 25
THREE	The Indirect Benefits of Innovation 51
Part Two	Choosing the Optimal Model 77
FOUR	The Integrator 79
FIVE	The Orchestrator 103
SIX	The Licensor 125
Part Three	Aligning and Leading for Payback 145
SEVEN	Aligning 147
EIGHT	Leading 179
AFTERWORD	Taking Action 201
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	207
NOTES	213
INDEX	219
ABOUT THE AUTHORS	227

Overview

FOR ALMOST EVERY COMPANY, the greatest challenge of innovation is not a lack of ideas but rather, successfully managing innovation so that it delivers the required return on the company's investment of money, time, and people. Most attempts at innovation fail to deliver this return—they do not generate enough payback.

Payback means one thing—cash. Cash that is realized within the planned time frame. When a company makes an investment in innovation and creates something new that produces a cash return swiftly and directly, it has created a winning situation, particularly when the return is larger than expected. The company has a “hit” on its hands. And this is true regardless of whether the new thing is a product, service, process change, business model, customer experience, or anything else that is new.

But it is the nature of innovation, of all types, that cash is not always produced from it, and rarely is it produced immediately. There can be a lag between the time of investment in innovation and the

cash return. This lag can make companies and leaders nervous. Perhaps the cash payback will never come at all? With other types of investments (particularly in tangible assets like factories, machines, or new trucks), companies can often calculate their cash return with much more certainty. But, as with advertising and certain other expenditures, the return on an investment in innovation cannot be so easily predicted or measured.

To complicate matters, the innovation process sometimes generates a cash payback, but indirectly—not through the specific product or service being developed but through a benefit that only later impacts the company’s ability to generate cash. These indirect benefits are real, although difficult to capture. There are four of them:

- **Knowledge.** The innovation process always produces knowledge, some of which can usually be put to work in more than one way to produce cash.
- **Brand.** Innovation can enhance a brand, thereby attracting more customers and enabling companies to charge a premium, which can mean greater cash returns.
- **Ecosystem.** Innovators can create exceptionally strong ecosystems of partners and associated organizations, enabling them to leverage their position in multiple ways, for the benefit of their payback.
- **Organization.** People want to work for and contribute to innovative companies, and being innovative allows companies to attract and retain more of the best people, or at least more of the most innovative ones. Having better people, with less cost to keep them, results in more cash.

For managers, the fundamental challenge of innovation is to achieve the required cash payback, by managing the overall innovation process

with the understanding that payback can come quite directly and quickly, but also that it may take longer, be much less certain, or come back to the company only indirectly, via other products and services.

To achieve payback, companies must manage the innovation process holistically and with discipline. They must make careful choices about how much and where to invest. They need to be smart about which innovation business model they choose to execute with. And they must deliberately align and lead their organizations toward payback.

They must also accept that innovation—more than other business strategies—entails a significant amount of risk. There are three types of risk: technical, operational, and market. If the new product or service has some technical failings, if the organization cannot actually commercialize or realize it, or if the market does not embrace the product as planned, the company is put at risk of not achieving the needed or desired payback.

Many companies try to remove as much of the risk as possible—installing strict procedures and ironclad approval mechanisms. There is value in control, of course, but for the most part, trying to make innovation risk-free either stifles the process or causes people to lower their sights, so nothing big ever happens. A few companies, surprisingly, are “risk optimistic.” They embrace risk too freely and end up paying for it. Companies must learn to understand risk, how to analyze and evaluate it, and how to manage it. And they must realize that often the greatest risk for a company seeking to grow is to take no risk at all.

When companies manage innovation with this understanding and in these ways, they can create new products and services (as well as process improvements, customer insights, new business models, and other types of innovations) that deliver payback and increase the company’s ability to continue to grow and thrive. Failure to do so puts the company on the path to commoditization, nondifferentiated positions, lack of advantage—and lack of cash.

Many companies are not achieving the payback they would like. This is clear both from our experience in the field and from the results

of our annual survey—The Boston Consulting Group (BCG)/*Business Week* “Senior Management Survey on Innovation,” which was first conducted by The Boston Consulting Group (BCG) in 2003.

In this survey, completed in April 2006, 1,070 executives representing 63 countries and all major industries responded, and they answered 19 questions.¹ The bottom-line finding was that 48 percent of the respondents said they are not satisfied with the return they get on their investments in innovation, and they gave a fascinating array of reasons for their dissatisfaction. Here are just a few of the most typical:

- “We make exaggerated estimates of the benefits of a new product.”
- “We have not established satisfactory performance metrics that consider leading, as well as lagging, financial factors.”
- “We pursue too many things simultaneously and cannot execute them all.”
- “We don’t have the right people or capacity in place.”
- “Our time to market is too slow.”
- “Our sales force focuses on our traditional business.”
- “Seniors managers won’t fund new products because they are too risky.”
- “Innovation is not a priority for the board of directors.”
- “We have a block in mind-set.”

All of these issues—and almost all of the others that we gathered in the survey—pertain to issues of management, capabilities, metrics, mind-set, decision making, and leadership. Very few respondents to the survey said that their problem with innovation was a lack of ideas. This is significant, because there has been a great deal of attention

paid in recent years to issues that have to do with ideas—including practical methods of idea generation, the importance of creativity, and the role of invention.

But as important as ideas are, they are only a small part of the issue. Creativity is not synonymous with innovation. Good, even great, ideas are not enough to guarantee payback. Innovation is the entire process of developing ideas *with the goal of achieving payback*, and it comprises three phases of activity, each with a distinct output.

- **Idea generation.** This is the phase when ideas are generated, developed, tested, evaluated, and refined, but during which the company makes no commitment to actually creating a product or service (or taking some other action) based on the ideas. The output of this phase is . . . an idea.
- **Commercialization.** This phase begins with the green light from management to develop a proposed idea into an offering that can be produced and marketed, either externally or internally, and ends when the product is launched to the buying audience. At this point, the process has produced an *invention*—the technology, product, service, or process improvement that has achieved a tangible form but that has not yet been tested by the external (or internal) market. The invention is the thing itself. Innovation is the process.
- **Realization.** This phase begins with market launch and ends when the product or service comes to the end of its life cycle. Although this is the phase when the cash payback is achieved, many important aspects of the size and timing of the payback have been determined by the activities of the earlier phases.

As our survey suggests—and as we’ve found in the vast majority of companies we’ve worked with—a lack of ideas is not the main stumbling block to achieving payback from innovation.

The central challenge for innovators, and the main focus of this book, is the commercialization phase. This is true whether the idea is for a new product, service, business model, customer experience, or any other new thing. It is during this phase that the company must evaluate the payback potential of the available ideas. It must determine the proper level of investment for each idea. It must choose which innovation business model to use to develop and produce the product. It must figure out how to organize the company to innovate and achieve payback. And its leaders must find the best way to lead the effort.

Payback is organized into three parts, the main ideas of which are summarized in the remainder of this chapter:

1. **What Is Payback?** Chapters 2 and 3 explain the four *S* factors that directly affect cash payback, as well as the indirect benefits of innovation that can lead back to cash.
2. **Choosing the Optimal Model.** Chapters 4 through 6 explore the characteristics, advantages, and management challenges of the three innovation business models—integration, orchestration, and licensing—and how they affect risk and payback.
3. **Aligning and Leading for Payback.** Chapters 7 and 8 discuss how to align all key elements of the company around innovation, and the essential elements of leadership that are needed to achieve maximum payback from innovation.

The Characteristics of Cash

In innovation, as we've said, cash truly is king. Four factors have a direct impact on cash payback:

- *Start-up costs*, or prelaunch investment
- *Speed*, or time to market

- AIBO, 11. *See also* Sony
- Air France, 43–44
- alignment of innovation
- at BMW, 176–178
 - causes of a lack of, 149–150
 - Citigroup's approach (*see* Citigroup)
 - companywide responsibility assignment, 19, 160–161
 - conditions contributing to innovation (*see* innovation-conducive conditions)
 - described, 148, 155
 - elements of, 18–20
 - imperative of, 17–18
 - individual responsibility assignment, 18, 156–159
 - unit responsibility assignment, 18–19, 159–160
- Altman, Steve, 142
- Aoki, Teruaki, 92–93, 164, 194
- Apple, 44–47
- Arent, Tom, 119
-
- Bach, Robbie, 34
- Backof, Charles, 92
- Balvanie, The, 87–88
- Barrett, Craig, 96–97
- Bath & Body Works, 110–111
- BCG/*BusinessWeek* survey, 4, 30–31, 80, 148
- beacon model of finding ideas, 171
- Bell, James, 113
-
- Bethune, Gordon, 113
- Biogen Idec, 40
- Blu-ray Disc, 68–69, 132
- BMW
- alignment at, 18, 176–178
 - integration use, 79–81, 86
 - orchestration use, 112
- Boeing, 112–114
- Bombardier Transportation, 71
- Boston Beer Company, 13, 72–73
- Boston Consulting Group (BCG), The, 4, 30–31, 80, 148
- brand enhancement and payback
- brand strengthening due to innovation, 12
 - challenge of transforming a brand, 64–65
 - greater acceptance as a reward, 64
 - from licensing, 127–128, 136
 - orchestrator business model and, 115–116
 - philosophy of, 63
 - through higher volume sales, 62–64
 - through premium prices, 62–64
- British Airways, 43–44
- Brualdi, Les, 192
- business models for innovation
- case study in changing, 141–143
 - defined, 14
 - impact of choice on payback, 14–15, 77–78
 - the integrator (*see* integration model)

- business models for innovation
 - (*continued*)
 - leading innovation and model choice, 189–191
 - the licensor (*see* licensing model)
 - the orchestrator (*see* orchestrator model)

- Cadbury Schweppes, 40
- Callan, Byron, 113
- Callaway, Ely, 60
- Callaway Golf, 60
- Cardin, Pierre, 127–128
- cash curve
 - analysis discipline using, 27–28
 - applying to innovation strategy, 201–203
 - at BMW, 81
 - cash trap example, 43–44
 - cash traps dangers, 42
 - cash traps recognition, 42
 - at Intel, 82
 - key questions addressed using, 35–39
 - management success example, 44–48
 - risk assessment using, 39–40
 - risk management using, 40–41
 - risks to analyze, 39–41
 - scale (time to volume), 32–34
 - S factors and, 6–7
 - speed to market, 30–32
 - start-up costs, 28–30
 - support costs, 34–35
- cash payback
 - characteristics of, 6–7
 - connection to measurement, 174–175
 - implications of orchestrator model, 114
 - noncash innovation benefits, 11–13 (*see also* indirect benefits of innovation)
 - organization of costs (*see* cash curve)
 - portfolio considerations and, 48–49
 - power of innovation to generate, 26
 - S factors (*see* S factors)
 - timing's importance, 49
 - traps, 10–11, 42–44
 - uncertainty inherent in innovation, 1–2
 - chief innovator position, 157–158
- Choi, Doh-Seok, 158, 161, 174, 186
- Citigroup
 - active alignment process, 152–154
 - active alignment results, 155
 - emerging markets business, 150–151
 - innovation catalyst position, 151
 - knowledge transfer system, 154
 - measurement and rewarding of performance, 154–155
- commercialization of a product, 5
- Concorde, 43–44
- Culp, H. Lawrence, 179–182

- Dais, Siegfried, 131–132, 162, 166
- Danaher Corporation, 179–182
- Decker, Dwight, 142
- Degussa, 139
- Del Monte, 84–85
- Dolby, Ray, 128, 130
- Dolby Laboratories, 13, 17, 128–130
- Dubreil, Yves, 196, 199
- dynasties and innovation goals, 193–195

- Early Stage Accelerator (ESA), 19, 137, 159
- ecosystem strength and payback
 - exclusivity due to innovation reputation, 67–68
 - from licensing, 136–137
 - through orchestration, 114, 116–117
 - preferential access and, 66–67
 - risks of innovating for, 69–70
 - standards setting positioning, 68–69
 - value of relationships, 12–13, 65
- Ertl, Martin, 80, 86, 112, 176–178
- executional risk and the cash curve, 41
- Exluna, Inc., 60

- Farmwald, Mike, 126
- Fiske, Neil, 110, 111

- FIZ at BMW, the, 79
 Flextronics, 33–34, 106
 Friis, Claus, 151
- Giant Manufacturing Corporation, 123
 Gillette, Walt, 113
 Gladiator GarageWorks. *See also*
 Whirlpool
 challenges from, 121
 concept creation, 117–118
 decision to work with partners, 119
 organizational impact, 120
 product strategy, 9–10, 119–120
 Gore-Tex, 64
 greenfield knowledge and payback, 56–57
 Gritz, Larry, 60
 Gutsch, Andreas, 140, 193
- Henderson, Bruce, 42
 Honda, 101
 Horowitz, Mark, 126
 Huijser, Ad, 122, 139, 174
 Hulit, Barb, 181
- indirect benefits of innovation
 brand enhancement (*see* brand enhancement and payback)
 cash payback importance and, 52
 cash potential considerations, 75–76
 ecosystem strength (*see* ecosystem strength and payback)
 integration model and, 83–84
 knowledge acquisition (*see* knowledge acquisition and payback)
 measuring, 173–174
 organizational impact (*see* organizational vitality due to innovation)
 overview, 2, 11–13, 42
- innovation
 alignment imperative, 17–20 (*see also* alignment of innovation)
 business models for (*see* business models for innovation)
 executives' dissatisfaction with returns, 4
 indirect benefits (*see* indirect benefits of innovation)
 leadership requirements, 20–22 (*see also* leading innovation)
 management challenge, 1, 2–3
 payback from (*see* cash payback)
 phases of activity, 5
 power to generate cash, 26
 steps overview (*see* organizational requirements for innovation)
 top-ranked companies, 148
 types of risks involved, 3, 39–40
- innovation-conducive conditions, 19
 at BMW, 176–178
 domain knowledge, 163–164
 environment characteristics, 165–167
 innovation index concept, 176
 innovation methodology example, 166
 measurement (*see* measurement of innovation)
 motivation, 167–169
 openness, 169–171
 space to explore, 162–163
 stimulation, 164–166
 time to think, 162
- innovation facilitator position, 157
- integration model
 ability-to-change requirement, 96–97
 BMW's success, 79–81, 86
 characteristics of integration companies, 84–85, 87
 control advantage, 87–88
 coordination challenges, 97
 dangers involved example, 98–100
 described, 15–16
 ECCO's success, 85–86
 global economy role, 101
 indirect benefits, 83–84
 Intel's total control goal, 81–82
 knowledge protection, 91–92

- integration model (*continued*)
 leveraging current capabilities, 89, 91
 need to create a new technology and, 92–93
 organizational payback from, 95–96
 Pringle's success, 190–191
 rationale for choosing to integrate, 82–83
 relationships management challenge, 97–98
 risk profile and, 83, 89–90
 risks and rewards of integration example, 94–96
 Seagate's approach, 93–96
- Intel Corporation, 81–82
- intellectual property, 11, 142. *See also*
 knowledge protection
- invention, 5
- iPod, 44–48
- Iridium, 8, 28–30
- iTunes Music Store, 45, 47
- Jacobs, Irwin, 141
- Jobs, Steve, 45, 158
- Kardassis, Nikos, 91
- knowledge acquisition and payback
 cash return maximization, 58–61
 challenge from increasing product complexity, 53
 domain knowledge and, 163–164
 greenfield knowledge and, 56–57
 as indirect benefits, 11
 knowledge seen as a product, 61
 knowledge transfer system at Citigroup, 154
 from licensing, 134–135
 management challenge, 53–54
 openness of companies and, 169–171
 orchestrator model and, 115
 product-applicable knowledge and, 55–56
 product-specific knowledge and, 54–55
 protecting knowledge (*see* knowledge protection)
 resource allocation decisions and, 188
 usefulness of new knowledge example, 57–58
- knowledge protection
 by claiming a trade secret, 60–61
 imperative of, 58
 integration model and, 91–92
 by limiting availability, 59
 by securing rare talent, 59
 by using trade dress laws, 60
- Koch, Jim, 13, 72–73
- Kogure, Makoto, 168–169, 194
- Lakhani, Karim R., 165
- Larsen, Marshall, 114
- leading innovation
 business model choice and, 189–191
 communicating the message, 185–186
 at Danaher, 179–182
 dealing with risk and, 198–200
 demonstrating commitment, 184–185, 186–187
 dynasties reshaping, 193–195
 focus area choices, 191–193
 qualities of effective innovation leaders, 20–22, 195–197
 resource allocation decisions, 187–189
 role of the leader, 183
- Lee, Kun-Hee, 62, 63, 161, 198
- Lee, Young-Ha, 12, 167
- Lenth, Bill, 163
- Levy, Pierre-Emmanuel, 39
- LG Electronics, 12, 166
- Li & Fung, 106
- licensing model
 brand enhancement and, 136
 described, 17, 125–126
 ecosystem strength and, 136–137
 innovative licensing example, 128–130
 knowledge acquisition and, 134–135
 negative situations involving, 126–127

- organizational barriers to, 130–131
 - organizational impact of, 133, 137–138
 - QUALCOMM's success, 140–143
 - risks inherent to, 138–140
 - situations favoring, 131–133
 - used to leverage unused patents, 128
 - used to preempt competition, 132–133
 - used to promote a brand, 127–128
- Lim, Sun-Hong, 63
- Linde, 36–37, 66–67
- Lowe's, 119
- Luczo, Steve, 94–96, 184–185
- Luke, Tim, 142
- market risk and the cash curve, 41
- measurement of innovation
- cash payback, 173
 - at Citigroup, 154
 - connection to payback, 174–175
 - indirect benefits, 173–174
 - inputs tracking, 172
 - performance metrics, 172–173
 - at Philips, 175
- Menezes, Victor, 150, 151
- Merrill Lynch, 91
- Meyer, Jonathan, 92
- Microsoft
- ecosystem strengthening and, 136
 - leading innovation at, 189
 - orchestrator model use, 106–109
 - payback success, 25–26
- Mitchell, Bill, 107, 108, 109, 116, 189
- Moore, Pattye, 198–199
- MoSIS, 134–135. *See also* Schindler
- Motorola
- alignment and, 159
 - licensing use, 137–138
 - model choice, 92
 - start-up costs for Iridium, 8, 28–30
- Nakamura, Shuji, 163–164
- NanoEmissive Display by Motorola, 138
- net present value analysis, 38–39
- New Balance, 115
- Nichia Corporation, 163–164
- Nobuyuki, Idei, 168–169
- Nokia, 89, 122, 136–137
- noncash benefits. *See* indirect benefits of innovation
- O'Connor, Jim, 42, 76, 137–138, 159
- open innovation, 16, 169
- orchestrator model
- capability added by orchestrating, 110
 - cash implications of, 114
 - described, 16, 103–104
 - ecosystem strength and, 114, 116–117
 - established brands impact, 115–116
 - execution challenge, 122
 - issues involved in, 108–109
 - knowledge sharing and, 115
 - large capital investment avoidance using, 111
 - Microsoft's Tablet PC success, 106–109
 - new product risk reduction and, 110–111
 - organizational impact, 117
 - outsourcing versus, 105
 - rationale for choosing, 114–115
 - rise in companies using, 105–106
 - risk sharing using, 112–114
 - risks inherent to, 122–123
 - Whirlpool's experience (*see* Gladiator GarageWorks)
- organizational requirements for innovation
- allocation of resources, 187–189
 - assignment of a leader, 204
 - assignment of people to the right place, 195–198
 - cash curve use, 201–203
 - cash trap awareness, 205
 - choice of innovation business model, 189–191
 - encouragement of risk taking, 198–200
 - focus on the right things, 191–193

- organizational requirements for innovation
(*continued*)
 - innovation model choice, 204–205
 - organization's belief in innovation, 183–187
 - portfolio considerations, 203–204
 - reshaping of dynasties, 193–195
 - risk assessment, 205
- organizational vitality due to innovation, 13
 - attractiveness to employees, 71
 - cash balanced with indirect benefits, 75–76
 - cash returns from, 73–74
 - confidence building through projects, 72–73
 - confidence due to abilities, 70–71
 - integration model payback, 95–96
 - from licensing, 137–138
 - motivating people, 167–169
 - orchestrator business model and, 117
- Ortega, Amancio, 90
- Ottenbruch, Peter, 72, 199
- outsourcing versus orchestrating, 105

- passion and innovation, 196–197
- Pereira, Elcio, 152
- Philips, 175
- Pixar, 60
- PlayStation, 33–34. *See also* Sony
- Polaroid, 10–11, 98–100
- Polavision, 10–11
- PortalPlayer, 46–47
- portfolio considerations in payback, 48–49
- postlaunch investment. *See* support costs
- prelaunch investment. *See* start-up costs
- Pringle, 190–191
- Procter & Gamble (P&G), 132–133, 171
- product-applicable knowledge and payback, 55–56
- product-specific knowledge and payback, 54–55

- QUALCOMM, 140–143
- Qualia, 168–169. *See also* Sony

- Rambus, Inc., 126–127
- Remboski, Don, 38
- Ricaud, Jean-Louis, 161
- Rinck, Stefan, 36, 67
- risks of innovating
 - cash curve used for assessing, 39–42
 - ecosystem strength as the motivator, 69–70
 - integration model profile, 83, 89–90
 - leading innovation and, 196, 198–200
 - new product risk reduction and orchestration, 110–111
 - risks and rewards of integration example, 94–96
 - risk sharing using orchestrator model, 112–114
 - risks inherent to licensing, 138–140
 - risks inherent to orchestrator model, 122–123
 - risks types, 3, 39–40
- Robert Bosch GmbH, 131–132, 162
- Roux, Didier, 53, 188

- Samsung, 62–64, 158, 160
- scale (time to volume), 9, 32–34
- Schindler, 134–136, 170
- Schmidt, Holger, 186
- Schrodinger, Erwin, 165
- Schwinn, 123
- scout model of finding ideas, 169–170
- Seagate Technology, 15–16, 93–96, 184–185
- Sears, 67–68
- S factors
 - cash curve and, 7
 - control over using integration, 15
 - iPod example, 45–47
 - scale (time to volume), 7, 9, 32–34
 - speed to market, 7, 8–9, 30–32

- start-up costs, 7, 8, 28–30
- support costs, 7, 9–10, 34–35
- Snyder, Mike, 192, 196
- Song, Young-Soo, 197–198
- Sony
 - alignment at, 168–169
 - dynasty challenges, 194–195
 - indirect benefits of innovation, 11, 57–58
 - integration use, 92–93
 - scale advantages, 33–34
 - standards setting, 68–69, 132
- Sony Ericsson, 122
- speed to market, 8–9, 30–32
- Starr, Todd, 119, 120
- start-up costs, 8, 28–30
- support costs, 9–10, 34–35
- Symbian, 136

- Tablet PC, 106–109. *See also* Microsoft
- Target, 59
- Tear Down and Redesign at LG, 166
- technical risk and the cash curve, 40–41
- Thinghuus, Mikael, 86
- time to volume. *See* scale (time to volume)
- TiVo, 8–9, 31–32
- Tokoro, Mario, 57, 58

- Toshiba Corporation, 47, 68, 132
- Toyota, 101
- trade dress laws, 60
- traps, cash, 42–44, 205
- Triple Bock beer, 73

- Utopias beer, 72–73

- Wal-Mart, 59
- Weedman, Jeff, 133, 171
- Weinberger, Karl, 170
- Wennberg, Ake, 71
- Weyrich, Claus, 174, 186, 189
- Whirlpool, 9–10, 68, 185. *See also* Gladiator GarageWorks
- Whitwam, David, 120
- Winser, Kim, 189–191
- W.L. Gore & Associates, 64

- Xbox, 9, 32–34. *See also* Microsoft

- Zara, 89–90, 97
- ZF Sachs AG, 72